

Appendix I7  
Questionnaire Survey Findings and Analysis  
(Scanned Copies in DVD Rom)

**Summary of Questions in the Questionnaire (Refer Appendix A for complete questionnaire)**

**Question 1**

Do you agree that providing continuous, non-interrupted public access along the harbourfront from Causeway Bay to Quarry Bay is essential for the public to enjoy the full benefit of the harbour as a public asset?

**Question 2**

- (A) Promote public access to the harbourfront from Causeway Bay to Quarry Bay through a safe and secure access which is otherwise currently dissected and hindered by private lots, roads, utilities, jetties, as well as the IEC which is both a physical and visual barrier to the harbour along the Island East harbourfront
- (B) Provide a better walking environment than the current pedestrian options in Eastern District
- (C) Attract people to walk more along the harbourfront and encourage residents to spend more time in physical activities such as jogging, and thereby help adopt a healthier lifestyle
- (D) Enable new leisure activities in Eastern District through better access to the harbourfront
- (E) Enhance positive social interaction between Hong Kong residents
- (F) The boardwalk will increase people flow, thus help sustain and promote the prosperity of the local economy (e.g. food and beverage facilities and water-land interface activities) in the Eastern District and Hong Kong at large.
- (G) The boardwalk will attract people using it as an event venue.
- (H) The boardwalk as an iconic structure would enhance the image of Hong Kong as a premier tourist destination.
- (I) The boardwalk will provide an alternative route for pedestrians currently using the footpath along King's Road / Electric Road / Java Road which would relieve pedestrians from poor air quality and noise pollution en route.
- (J) The boardwalk as an iconic structure will improve the visual quality and landscape character of the harbourfront in the District.

**Question 3**

As well as the walkway which is a core component of the boardwalk, please indicate below which components you accept address the needs mentioned earlier in Question 1.

<b>Boardwalk Component</b>	<b>Reclamation (IEC Protection Structures) or Decking above the Sea or Restriction of Marine Access to Shoreline</b>
(A) Pedestrian walkway <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• length approx 2km</li> <li>• boardwalk width 5m</li> </ul>	Reclamation in the form of ten new protection structures, with total area of reclamation approx 300m <sup>2</sup> Area of decking above the sea approx 8,250m <sup>2</sup> Restriction of boat access to approx 730m length of existing shoreline
(B) Cycleway <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• length approx 2km</li> <li>• boardwalk widened to 7.5m over most of its length to accommodate the cycle track</li> </ul>	Additional area of decking above the sea approx 4,550m <sup>2</sup>
(C) Viewing platforms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• total four platforms</li> <li>• area of each platform approx 20m<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>	Additional area of decking above the sea for all four viewing platforms approx 80m <sup>2</sup>
(D) Fishing platform <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• on platform deck to west of North Point Ferry Pier</li> <li>• total area of platform approx 400m<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>	Part of the fishing platform will be on the IEC foundations, additional area of platform decking that extends above the sea (beyond the IEC foundations) approx 300m <sup>2</sup>
(E) Cycle rental kiosk <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• located on existing land at Hoi Yu Street</li> </ul>	No reclamation or decking above the sea required
(F) Bicycle parking <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• on platform deck to west of North Point Ferry Pier</li> </ul>	Additional area of decking above the sea approx 70m <sup>2</sup>
(G) Food & beverage kiosks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• located on existing land</li> </ul>	No reclamation or decking above the sea required

**Summary of Questionnaire Survey**

Question	Quantity	Yes		No		Don't Know / Insufficient Information		Blank / N.A.	
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Q1	1306	1193	91.3%	76	5.8%	18	1.4%	19	1.5%
Q2A	1306	1064	81.5%	89	6.8%	85	6.5%	68	5.2%
Q2B	1306	1179	90.3%	37	2.8%	27	2.1%	63	4.8%
Q2C	1306	1189	91.0%	29	2.2%	24	1.8%	64	4.9%
Q2D	1306	1174	89.9%	40	3.1%	28	2.1%	64	4.9%
Q2E	1306	1086	83.2%	60	4.6%	95	7.3%	65	5.0%
Q2F	1306	977	74.8%	121	9.3%	142	10.9%	66	5.1%
Q2G	1306	1071	82.0%	83	6.4%	84	6.4%	68	5.2%
Q2H	1306	992	76.0%	147	11.3%	100	7.7%	67	5.1%
Q2I	1306	1075	82.3%	90	6.9%	74	5.7%	67	5.1%
Q2J	1306	1012	77.5%	106	8.1%	118	9.0%	70	5.4%
Q3A	1306	1054	80.7%	93	7.1%	98	7.5%	61	4.7%
Q3B	1306	923	70.7%	236	18.1%	95	7.3%	52	4.0%
Q3C	1306	999	76.5%	160	12.3%	92	7.0%	55	4.2%
Q3D	1306	861	65.9%	271	20.8%	123	9.4%	51	3.9%
Q3E	1306	903	69.1%	249	19.1%	103	7.9%	51	3.9%
Q3F	1306	865	66.2%	271	20.8%	111	8.5%	59	4.5%
Q3G	1306	995	76.2%	179	13.7%	78	6.0%	54	4.1%
The number who said yes to any of Q1 or Q2A-J is 1264 (96.8%) out of 1306									
The number who said yes to none of Q1 nor Q2A-J is 42 (3.2%) out of 1306									

